UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,081	02/03/2004	Holger Bohle	09282.0044-00	1661
60668 7590 12/22/2009 SAP / FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001, 4412			EXAMINER	
			SENSENIG, SHAUN D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/772,081	BOHLE, HOLGER	
	Artonit	
JOHN G. WEISS	3629	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Br	ief Request for Review filed 5 November 2009.
 Improper Request – The Recreason(s): 	quest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not includ	ot been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. e reasons why a review is appropriate. ncluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notice	Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal om mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the two-month time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the two-months are the contraction.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations.	the status of the claim(s) is as follows: deration:
	nference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of on on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	ference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ion is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>JOHN G. WEISS</u> .	(3) <u>SHAUN SENSENING</u> .
(2) <u>VINCENT MILLIN</u> .	(4) <u>/vincent millin/</u> .
	Shaun Sensenig/ xaminer, Art Unit 3629